



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,456	10/23/2003	William George Bennett	50T5572.01	8082	
³⁶⁷³⁸ ROGITZ & AS	7590 11/21/2007 SOCIATES		EXAMINER		
750 B STREET SUITE 3120 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101			LEE, MICHAEL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/692,456	BENNETT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	M. Lee	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 O	ctober 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) \square objected to by the R drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P	te				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/23/03</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:					

Application/Control Number:

10/692,456 Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 11-13, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Masaki et al. (7,173,666).

Regarding claim 1, Masaki discloses a display having a first aspect ratio (500), a source of video having a second aspect ratio (100), and a means for using motion vectors in the video to establish what portion of a video frame to present (200, 300, 400).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, the means (200, 300, and 400) are intended to be at the source or the display.

Regarding claim 5, see col. 22, lines 32-50.

Regarding claim 6, see col. 14, lines 26-32.

Regarding claim 7, see col. 14, lines 33-40.

Regarding claim 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, and 19, see the similar rejections as set forth above.

10/692,456 Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 8, 10, 14, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masaki et al. (7,173,666).

Regarding claim 4, Masaki does not specify that the video is an MPEG-encoded video stream as claimed. In any event, using MPEG to compress video signals is well known in the art because it effectively reduces the bandwidth required to transmit the video signals. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to include a MPEG encoder into Masaki so that the video signals could be transmitted from the source to the display end with a minimal bandwidth. Masaki also teaches that the aspect ratio of the source can be in any ratio (col. 11, lines 7-14). Hence, the claimed 16:9 would have been one of them.

Regarding claim 8, Masaki does not specify that the logic pans the video at least in part based on a type of program embodied by the video. In any event, Masaki teaches that concepts of the present invention are readily applicable to any non-standard aspect ratio imager, scene, or image. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify Masaki to pan the image based on a sport scene so that the action of the sport could be tracked constantly.

10/692,456 Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claims 10 and 16, see rejection to claim 4.

Regarding claims 14 and 20, see rejection to claim 8.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Manning (7,184,093) shows a panning based on motion.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number 571-272-7349. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached on 571-272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622